

Public Document Pack

NOTICE OF MEETING



CHILDREN'S SERVICES OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PANEL

will meet on

TUESDAY, 19TH JULY, 2016

at

6.30 pm

in the

COUNCIL CHAMBER - TOWN HALL,

TO: MEMBERS OF THE CHILDREN'S SERVICES OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PANEL

COUNCILLORS EDWARD WILSON, DAVID EVANS, LYNNE JONES, ROSS MCWILLIAMS, MARION MILLS, NICOLA PRYER AND EILEEN QUICK

VACANCY (OXFORD DIOCESE), MR MOSS (PORTSMOUTH DIOCESE), TANYA WHITE (SECONDARY HEADTEACHER REPRESENTATIVE), VACANCY (PRIMARY HEADTEACHER REPRESENTATIVE), MR LOUDEN (SECONDARY GOVERNORS REPRESENTATIVE), MARGARET BROWN (SECONDARY GOVERNORS REPRESENTATIVE), MARTIN POST (REGIONAL SCHOOLS COMMISSIONER)

Karen Shepherd

Democratic Services Manager - Issued: Monday, 11 July 2016

Members of the Press and Public are welcome to attend Part I of this meeting.

The agenda is available on the Council's web site at www.rbwm.gov.uk or contact the Panel Administrator **David Cook**

Fire Alarm - In the event of the fire alarm sounding or other emergency, please leave the building quickly and calmly by the nearest exit. Do not stop to collect personal belongings and do not use the lifts. Congregate in the Town Hall Car Park, Park Street, Maidenhead (immediately adjacent to the Town Hall) and do not re-enter the building until told to do so by a member of staff.

Recording of Meetings – The Council allows the filming, recording and photography of public Council meetings. This may be undertaken by the Council itself, or any person attending the meeting. By entering the meeting room you are acknowledging that you may be audio or video recorded and that this recording will be available for public viewing on the RBWM website. If you have any questions regarding the council's policy, please speak to the Democratic Services or Legal representative at the meeting.

AGENDA

PART I

<u>ITEM</u>	<u>SUBJECT</u>	<u>PAGE NO</u>
1.	<u>ELECTION OF CHAIRMAN AND VICE CHAIRMAN</u>	-
2.	<u>APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE</u> To receive apologies for absence.	-
3.	<u>DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST</u> To receive any declarations of interest.	5 - 6
4.	<u>MINUTES</u> To consider the Part I minutes of the meeting held on 19 th April 2016.	7 - 12
5.	<u>CHILD SEXUAL EXPLOITATION - UPDATE ON PROGRESS</u> To comment on the Cabinet report.	To Follow
6.	<u>EXPANSION OF SECONDARY SECTOR PROVISION</u> To comment on the Cabinet Report.	To Follow
7.	<u>FINANCE UPDATE</u> To comment on the Cabinet Report.	To Follow
8.	<u>FORWARD PLAN</u> To note the forward plan.	13 - 16
9.	<u>LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 1972 - EXCLUSION OF THE PUBLIC</u> To consider passing the following resolution:- “That under Section 100(A)(4) of the Local Government Act 1972, the public be excluded from the remainder of the meeting whilst discussion takes place on item 8 on the grounds that it involves the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in Paragraphs 1-7 of part I of Schedule 12A of the Act”	-

PARTII

<u>ITEM</u>	<u>SUBJECT</u>	<u>PAGE NO</u>
10.	<u>MINUTES</u> To consider the Part II minutes of the meeting held on 19th April 2016. <i>(Not for publication by virtue of Paragraph 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972)</i>	17 - 18

MEMBERS' GUIDANCE NOTE

DECLARING INTERESTS IN MEETINGS

DISCLOSABLE PECUNIARY INTERESTS (DPIs)

DPIs include:

- Any employment, office, trade, profession or vocation carried on for profit or gain.
- Any payment or provision of any other financial benefit made in respect of any expenses occurred in carrying out member duties or election expenses.
- Any contract under which goods and services are to be provided/works to be executed which has not been fully discharged.
- Any beneficial interest in land within the area of the relevant authority.
- Any license to occupy land in the area of the relevant authority for a month or longer.
- Any tenancy where the landlord is the relevant authority, and the tenant is a body in which the relevant person has a beneficial interest.
- Any beneficial interest in securities of a body where
 - a) that body has a piece of business or land in the area of the relevant authority, and
 - b) either (i) the total nominal value of the securities exceeds £25,000 or one hundredth of the total issued share capital of that body **or** (ii) the total nominal value of the shares of any one class belonging to the relevant person exceeds one hundredth of the total issued share capital of that class.

PREJUDICIAL INTERESTS

This is an interest which a reasonable fair minded and informed member of the public would reasonably believe is so significant that it harms or impairs your ability to judge the public interest. That is, your decision making is influenced by your interest that you are not able to impartially consider only relevant issues.

DECLARING INTERESTS

If you have not disclosed your interest in the register, you **must make** the declaration of interest at the beginning of the meeting, or as soon as you are aware that you have a DPI or Prejudicial Interest. If you have already disclosed the interest in your Register of Interests you are still required to disclose this in the meeting if it relates to the matter being discussed. A member with a DPI or Prejudicial Interest **may make representations at the start of the item but must not take part in discussion or vote at a meeting.** The term 'discussion' has been taken to mean a discussion by the members of the committee or other body determining the issue. You should notify Democratic Services before the meeting of your intention to speak. In order to avoid any accusations of taking part in the discussion or vote, you must move to the public area, having made your representations.

If you have any queries then you should obtain advice from the Legal or Democratic Services Officer before participating in the meeting.

If the interest declared has not been entered on to your Register of Interests, you must notify the Monitoring Officer in writing within the next 28 days following the meeting.

This page is intentionally left blank

Public Document Pack Agenda Item 4

CHILDREN'S SERVICES OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PANEL

TUESDAY, 19 APRIL 2016

PRESENT: Councillors Hollingsworth, Mills, E Wilson, Evans (Chairman), McWilliams, and Jones.

CO-OPTED MEMBERS: Mrs Brown and Mr Louden.

ALSO IN ATTENDANCE: Cllr Bicknell.

OFFICERS: Kevin McDaniel, David Cook, Alison Alexander, Liz Hinchy and Lynne Pen.

APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received by Cllr Richards, Tanya White, Elaine Redding, Hilary Hall and Martin Post.

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest received.

MINUTES

The Part I minutes of the meeting held on 22 March 2016 were approved as a true and correct record.

REGIONAL SCHOOL COMMISSIONER

The Panel were informed that the Regional School Commissioner had given his apologies as he had to priorities other areas that required more improvement. That said he had been given a seat on this Panel as it was important that he retained focus on our schools.

The Panel were shown two slides that showed the performance of primary and secondary academies.

With regards to primaries performance was as follows:

- Datchet St Marys – Requires Improvement.
- Knowl Hill – Good
- Lowbrook – Outstanding
- St Francia – Outstanding
- St Lukes – Good
- St Marys – Good
- St Peters (Middle) – Inadequate
- White Waltham – Outstanding
- Burchets Green – Outstanding
- Eton Porny – Requires Improvement

The Panel were informed that with regards to St Peters Middle the judgement was when it was a maintained school and had not been judged as an academy. There was a strong leadership appointment joining the school.

With regards to secondary academies performance was noted as:

- Altwood – Requires Improvement.
- Charters – Outstanding.
- Cox Green – Good.
- Desborough – Good
- Furze Platt – Requires Improvement.
- Newlands – Good.
- Windsor Boys – Requires Improvement.
- Windsor Girls – Outstanding.

The Panel were informed that the LEA continued to collaborate with the academy schools apart from Altwood who although the LEA continued to have concerns they were not responsive to offers of help. If the Commissioner had attended one of the questions to be asked would have been how he planned to improve outcomes at Altwood.

The Chairman questioned the staffing levels of the Commissioner and schools covered and was informed that he was responsible for about 800 schools in the South East, North London and parts of the Midlands. The Commissioner had eight / nine staff whose role was not school improvement but to challenge trusts that they have improvement plans in place. The LEA had offered Altwood officer support.

Cllr Bicknell reported that even if the Commissioner agreed that Altwood was not achieving then all they would do was to go back to the trustees and therefore it was difficult for the LEA to effect change. Cllr Jones raised concern that even though the Commissioners were responsible for Academies the public still looked towards the Council when things were not improving.

Mr Loudon reported that if acadimisation went ahead then there needed to be focus given to primary schools; especially their finances. It was disappointing that the Commissioner had not attended to answer questions.

Cllr E Willson mentioned that if the Commissioner had attended he would have asked questions about financing academy conversion. Schools were given £25k toward aiding conversion but the LEA also incurred costs on areas such as legal fees and consultation costs. He raised concern about the amount of money needed for conversion that should be going towards childrens education.

Cllr E Willson asked if officers could ask the Commissioner how many schools received the School Improvement Grant and how many could benefit from the grant. The Chairman also asked for him to say what his department would be doing about improving Altwood and what dialogue there had been with the school.

With regards to future acadimisation Mrs Brown mentioned that there was a need to talk about accountability and that there was little evidence that MAT's were successful.

Cllr Hollingsworth informed that he had discussed Altwoods situation with two previous governors who had informed him that they had resigned as governors at the school as they could not force improvement.

(Cllr McWilliams joined the meeting)

The Managing Director informed the Panel that there may resource to help conversion to academies but this would not cover the whole cost. It was noted that the land could be transferred to the DFE rather than the trust. The LEA would be making strong representation that academies must provide sufficient spaces for children to be provided with a high quality education. The DFE would have to look at the mixed quality of MAT's and the LEA would continue to offer challenge to poor performance. Officers were looking at MATs and other

opportunities for secondary schools to offer joint working with primary trusts. The Panel would have an opportunity to discuss the white paper when appropriate.

DYNAMIC PURCHASING SYSTEM - HOME TO SCHOOL TRANSPORT

The Panel were informed that the report considered whether dynamic purchasing was a route the Council wished to proceed down to procure its services and the options available in the market. The Report had been considered by Cabinet in March 2016 and went to the Adult Services and Health O&S Panel as if successful the next stage would be adult social care procurement. As there was to be a trial of the dynamic purchasing system for home to school transport the report was brought to this Panel to note.

The system would allow Individuals to choose their service from a group of providers vetted by the council. A spin-off benefit was that individuals made their own decisions therefore they were taking control over their lives. The system would provide benefits by encouraging operators to register allowing more choice and capacity and the accreditation process will improve quality of service.

Cllr Hollingsworth asked if this meant that you could only get HTST via the system and was informed that this was the case to allow for a clear and robust system and accredited DPS checked providers.

In response to questions from the Chairman the Panel were informed that current contracts would continue but in time all HTST needs would be provided from the system. The Panel were also informed that training and marketing of the system would be provided.

The Panel noted the update.

FINANCE UPDATE

The Panel considered the latest financial update that reported a projected underspend of £483,000, whilst noting the addition of £2.2m to the Adult Social Care budget earlier in the year. Reserves were healthy, with the Development Fund currently at £663,000. At the start of the 2016/17 financial year £1.3m of transitional grant funding from central government would be transferred to the Development Fund.

Members noted that Two5Nine Ltd would be renamed RBWM Property Ltd. The report proposed an increase in the lending limit by £200,000 to £1.5m to enable the refurbishment of a property to be used for affordable rental.

With regards to Children's services the Panel were informed that:

- There was a projected underspend £0.018m (0.1%) against £17.967m net budget.
- There was a reduction in the legal costs of CiC.
- Reduction in public health grant.
- Increased cost of agency workers.
- Changes in high needs placements.
- Continuing pressure on high needs SEN, offset by underspend on education for 3 & 4 year olds.

Cllr E Wilson questioned the money given to Windsor Boys school to fix their bike shed and if this would set a precedent for the other academy schools. It was agreed that a written answer would be provided and added to the minutes; the following email was sent after the meeting:

"Cllr Wilson identified a capital sum of c£10k in 2015-16 for a cycle shelter at Windsor Boys. This sits within the Highways and Transport annual capital programme under the elements for Cycle Parking at Schools and Safe Routes to Schools. This contributes to our targets for

promoting cycling, cutting congestion and reducing casualties as set out in the manifesto and Local Transport Plan.

The figure for Windsor Boys follows from cycle parking installed a few years ago. Unfortunately the contractor did a very poor job and so the cycle shelters twisted, splitting the Perspex coverings and pulling some of the footings out of the ground. The team pursued them for over a year to come back and make good the defects, since the shelters were still within the warranty period. However, the company went into administration before we could get the remedial work completed. The shelters posed a health and safety risk to students who were using them due to the jagged edges on the Perspex, so we included a sum of money in last year's programme to pay for the repairs.

We have an ongoing programme for Cycle Parking at Schools and Safe Routes to Schools with individual schemes approved by Cabinet Prioritisation Sub-Committee. The next round of schemes is coming forward shortly and will include proposals for three schools, including academies. This work is funded in part by the Local Transport Plan Grant."

Resolved unanimously: That the Children's Services Overview and Scrutiny Committee considered the latest financial update and fully endorsed the recommendations in the report.

NEW PRIMARY SCHOOL PLACES IN ASCOT

The Panel considered the report that provided options for the provision of additional primary school places in Ascot. Members were informed that there was a shortage of primary places across the borough but that the situation was more acute in the south. The proposal was to consult on a number of options including expansion of existing schools and the possibility of a primary free school

Members were informed that appendix A provided the data behind the need for additional places such as although birth rates were declining the number of housing development was increasing, including an increase of in filling in the Ascot area.

As St Francis and St Michael's were too small for expansion it was recommending consultation on possible expansion of Cheapside, Holy trinity, South Ascot Village and there remained the possibility of a free school.

Cllr E Wilson mentioned that when looking at school provision we needed to be mindful of economic conditions effecting parents decision to put a child in private education or the state system. He also felt that there should be a wider consultation and that the success of Charters was effecting demand.

Cllr Jones mentioned that we needed to be mindful of the impact development could have on traffic, especially outside schools, and that the schools were near other authorities boundaries and thus woud attract out of borough children.

Resolved unanimously: that the Children's Services Overview and Scrutiny Committee considered the report and fully endorsed the recommendations and felt it was a pro-active move by the Royal Borough.

ITEM WITHDRAWN - PROGRESS REPORT ON EXTENDING GRAMMAR SCHOOL PROVISION INTO THE ROYAL BOROUGH

The Panel were informed that the Grammar School progress report had been withdrawn from the Cabinet and this Panels agenda and would be re submitted early summer 2016. In response to a question from the Chairman it was confirmed that as much of the report as possible would be in Part I, however it was expected to contain Part II elements.

Mrs Brown asked if the report would contain what percentage of the whole electorate wanted a satellite Grammar school and was informed that a full consultation was part of the process and results would be shown.

It was noted that the provision was a Conservative manifesto commitment and in response from a statement from Cllr Jones the Chairman confirmed that the Panel would have an opportunity to discuss the proposals.

OFSTED IMPROVEMENT PLAN

The Chairman informed that the Ofsted Improvement Plan report was due to go to Cabinet in May 2016 and proposed that the Panel received a presentation at this meeting with the report being emailed to Panel members for comment in May. The Panel approved this course of action.

The Panel were informed that the March 2015 Ofsted inspection gave a rating of Requires Improvement but recognised the significant progress made over the last two years and 16 recommendations were made. The report due to be discussed at May Cabinet would provide an update on progress being made.

The 16 recommendations in the year 1 action plan had been completed, with ongoing monitoring taking place. The report would deal with the second phase, aiming to move the council to outstanding or good by the time of the next inspection in two years with many existing actions forming part of the phase two improvement plan. The council was also looking at good practice at the only two councils judged as outstanding.

The presentation gave a list of achievements arising from the action plan and also informed that further focus would be given to:

- Integration of early help hub and early help processes, including Children's Centres.
- Corporate parenting.
- Child sexual exploitation and other emerging risks, including radicalisation.
- Consistency of frontline management and supervision.

There would be a Local Government Association Safeguarding Peer Review in September 2016 with the results going to Cabinet in October 2016.

Cllr E Wilson asked when the next Ofsted inspection was due and was informed that they undertook a three year inspection cycle and from 2017 they would be undertaking multi agency inspections. In response to a question on home educated children the Panel were informed that the LEA no longer had any rights to provide advice or monitor the education of children kept at home.

Mrs Brown mentioned that home educated children could be vulnerable and the Panel were informed that the Council kept a missing from education list and if a child was on a Child Protection Plan and left school officers have a right to visit the home. The LEA also offered an annual check from the education welfare service. It was noted that there were about 80 children home educated.

The presentation was noted and the Chairman encouraged the Panel to read the report when available.

FORWARD PLAN

The Forward Plan was noted.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 1972 - EXCLUSION OF THE PUBLIC

RESOLVED UNANIMOUSLY: That under Section 100(A)(4) of the Local Government Act 1972, the public be excluded from the remainder of the meeting whilst discussion takes place on the grounds that they involve the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in Paragraphs 1-7 of part I of Schedule 12A of the Act.

The meeting, which began at 6.30 pm, finished at 9.00 pm

CHAIRMAN.....

DATE.....

FORWARD PLAN

ITEM	Private Meeting - contains exempt/confidential information? See categories below.	Short Description	Key Decision, Council or other?	REPORTING MEMBER (to whom representations should be made)	REPORTING OFFICER / DIRECTOR (to whom representations should be made)	Consultation (please specify consultees, dates (to and from) and form of consultation), including other meetings	Date of Overview and Scrutiny Panel	Date and name of meeting	Date of Council decision (if required)
Ascot Primary School Places	Open -	The report sets out the responses to the consultation about the options for primary school place growth in Ascot	No	Lead Member for Children's Services (Councillor Natasha Airey)	Kevin McDaniel	Website, public meetings and governing body discussions.	Children's Services Overview and Scrutiny Panel via email.	Cabinet 25 Aug 2016	
Changes to the Home to School Transport Policy	Open -	Report on proposals to change the borough's home to school transport policy, following public consultation in June/July 2016.	No	Lead Member for Children's Services (Councillor Natasha Airey)	Kevin McDaniel	Public consultation June & July 2016	Children's Services Overview and Scrutiny Panel via email.	Cabinet 25 Aug 2016	
Delivery of Children's Services	Part exempt - 3	To consider and, if appropriate, approve the Inter-Authority and Member's Agreement	Yes	Lead Member for Children's Services (Councillor Natasha Airey), Lead Member for Culture and Communities (Councillor Samantha Rayner), Lead Member for Adult Services and Health	Hilary Hall	Internal process	Children's Services Overview and Scrutiny Panel 22 Sep 2016	Cabinet 29 Sep 2016	

ITEM	Private Meeting - contains exempt/confidential information? See categories below	Short Description	Key Decision, Council or other?	REPORTING MEMBER (to whom representations should be made)	REPORTING OFFICER / DIRECTOR (to whom representations should be made)	Consultation (please specify consultees, dates (to and from) and form of consultation), including other meetings.	Date of Overview and Scrutiny Panel	Date and name of meeting	Date of Council decision (if required)
				(Councillor David Coppinger)					
Budget 2017-18 - Initial Savings Proposals	Part exempt - 3	Bringing forward initial proposals for the 2017-18 budget	Yes	Lead Member for Finance (Councillor MJ Saunders)	Russell O'Keefe	Internal process	Children's Services Overview and Scrutiny Panel 22 Sep 2016	Cabinet 29 Sep 2016	
Dynamic Purchasing System Pilot Scheme Findings and Recommendations 14	Open -	Findings and recommendations of the DPS pilot scheme for home to school transport and residential care	No	Lead Member for Finance (Councillor MJ Saunders)	Richard Bunn, Russell O'Keefe	Internal process	Children's Services Overview and Scrutiny Panel 20 Oct 2016	Cabinet 27 Oct 2016	
Annual Consultation on School Admission Arrangements	Open -	This is the start of the annual statutory consultation on admission arrangements	Yes	Lead Member for Children's Services (Councillor Natasha Airey)	Kevin McDaniel	Consultation with schools	Children's Services Overview and Scrutiny Panel 16 Nov 2016	Cabinet 24 Nov 2016	

N.B. All documents to be used by the decision maker to be listed in the report to Cabinet

ITEM	Private Meeting - contains exempt/confidential information? See categories below	Short Description	Key Decision, Council or other?	REPORTING MEMBER (to whom representations should be made)	REPORTING OFFICER / DIRECTOR (to whom representations should be made)	Consultation (please specify consultees, dates (to and from) and form of consultation), including other meetings.	Date of Overview and Scrutiny Panel	Date and name of meeting	Date of Council decision (if required)
------	--	-------------------	---------------------------------	---	---	---	-------------------------------------	--------------------------	--

DESCRIPTIONS OF EXEMPT INFORMATION: ENGLAND

1	Information relating to any individual.
2	Information which is likely to reveal the identity of an individual.
3	Information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding that information).
4 15	Information relating to any consultations or negotiations, or contemplated consultations or negotiations, in connection with any labour relations matter arising between the authority or a Minister of the Crown and employees of, or office holders under, the authority.
5	Information in respect of which a claim to legal professional privilege could be maintained in legal proceedings.
6	Information which reveals that the authority proposes (a) to give under any enactment a notice under or by virtue of which requirements are imposed on a person; or (b) to make an order or direction under any enactment.
7	Information relating to any action taken or to be taken in connection with the prevention, investigation or prosecution of crime.

N.B. All documents to be used by the decision maker to be listed in the report to Cabinet

This page is intentionally left blank

By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank